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Foreword: How We Came to Need a Learning and Earning Exchange

The old rules of thumb no longer apply.

Go to college. Study hard. Get good grades. Get a degree. Get a job. This is great advice that has served many generations well. But these simple principles are no longer enough in today’s more complex world. The relationship between education after high school and jobs has become trickier and harder to navigate. Learners and workers need a clear guidance system that will help them make good college and career decisions and enable them to lead fulfilling, purposeful lives while supporting their families.

People want to know the value they are getting from one of the biggest investments they will make in their lives.

Colleges have become very expensive, with tuition and fees at public four-year colleges and universities growing 19 times faster than the median family income since 1980. The trend toward state disinvestment in postsecondary education for the past three decades has shifted the financial burden to students and their families. If students are investing more to go to college, they need to have answers to basic questions about the value of postsecondary education. They need better information to make decisions that have lifelong economic consequences, and this information should be delivered in new ways.

In addition, the governance, accreditation, and financing of postsecondary education must go beyond student completion as a goal and be connected to measurable post-college outcomes. While completion is an important metric for improving efficiency, it ignores the relationship between learning and earning in particular fields of study, as well as the social and economic value of general education. If we don’t change the way we think about providing postsecondary education and training, we will continue to have a system with runaway costs driven by institutional prestige rather than learning and earning outcomes.

How did we get here?

Higher education is struggling to adjust in the transition from an industrial to a post-industrial economy. As a result, the sector is finding it increasingly difficult to serve

---

1 About 40 percent of students are attending community colleges, where the complexity of choosing a program might pose a greater challenge both because of the higher number of options and greater granularity of labor market outcomes. Community college students also are more likely to be first generation, and come from low-income families and historically underrepresented groups, and therefore are more likely to lack information and guidance they need in making education and career decisions. Baker et al., “The Effect of Labor Market Information on Community College Students’ Major Choice,” 2017.


6 Higher education remains vital, but it must be more efficient, transparent, and equitable. We cannot afford all the postsecondary education we need without more efficiency, and we cannot achieve more equity without more efficiency (Carnevale, *We Need a New Deal between Higher Education and Democratic Capitalism*, 2017).
simultaneously the needs of learners, workers, and employers. The two organizational models typical of the industrial era – the top-down vertically integrated institutions (e.g., automakers and government) and the fragmented model of service delivery (e.g., healthcare and education) – are being displaced in the post-industrial service economy by networks of institutions and individuals that foster direct consumer participation and compel measured outcomes. Just like other industries before it, higher education is going through the growing pains of modernization. It is being asked to deliver more quality, innovation, customization, convenience, and speed.\(^7\)

The growing complexity of today’s economy is making it difficult for higher education to deliver efficiency and consistent quality.

Today’s economy is more intricate than those of decades past. We have more occupations, programs of study, colleges and universities, and students than ever before:

- Occupations grew from 270 in 1950 to 840 in 2010;\(^8\)
- Postsecondary programs of study more than quintupled between 1985 and 2010 – from 410 to 2,260;\(^9\)
- The number of colleges and universities more than doubled from 1,850 to 4,720 between 1950 and 2014;\(^10\) and
- The number of college students swelled almost tenfold in the period between 1949 and 2014 – from 2.4 million to 20.2 million.\(^11\)

The variety of postsecondary credentials available has multiplied rapidly in recent years, including degrees, certificates, certifications, licenses, and badges and other micro-credentials. New providers as well as delivery modes and models, such as online and competency-based education, have added further to the growing complexity and confusion. This has translated into an explosion of choices and decisions that make it hard for people to navigate in and out of college and careers.\(^12\) We need better information connecting higher education to the economy.

No one really knows what a postsecondary credential represents.

Today’s ecosystem of postsecondary credentials is complex, fragmented, and multilayered, and presents significant challenges to learners, employers, and policymakers.\(^13\) We don’t know enough about the learning and competencies required to receive specific credentials. We also don’t know how various credentials across diverse fields are valued, or how they interact with one another. Employers traditionally have used specific credentials as signals of workers’ competencies. But today they are unable to assess the value of different credentials and want to know how their underlying competencies match job requirements. Without clear, comprehensive, and actionable information, mediocrity prevails, and reputation rather than quality (captured by earnings returns) is rewarded.\(^14\)

---

\(^7\) Widely distributed information technology allows the shift to complex learning networks driven by widely shared information, measured outcome standards, and direct consumer participation – making these new networks the dominant form of organization for both consumption and production in all industries (Carnevale and Rose, *The Economy Goes to College*, 2015).


\(^12\) Cappelli, *Will College Pay Off?*, 2015.


We need new rules to help us understand the connection between college and careers.

Measuring learning and earning at the program level is the key to unbundling the value of postsecondary education options. Currently we have ways to measure earning, but we are far away from being able to measure learning. Why is measuring learning important? General education competencies make workers more flexible and more adaptable to changing technology, which is advantageous over the course of a career. In the long term, we will need to figure out which combination of general and specific competencies prepare workers better for occupations. For now, the new relationship between postsecondary programs and the economy comes with new rules that require much more detailed information on the connection between individual postsecondary programs and career pathways:

**RULE 1.**
On average, more education yields more pay.
Over a career, a high school graduate earns $1.4 million; an Associate’s degree holder earns $1.8 million; a Bachelor’s degree holder earns $2.5 million; a Master’s degree holder earns $2.9 million; a PhD holder earns $3.5 million; and a professional degree holder earns $4 million.

**RULE 2.**
What a person makes depends on what that person takes.
A major in early childhood education pays $3.4 million less over a career than a major in petroleum engineering.

**RULE 3.**
Sometimes less education is worth more.
IT certificate holders who work in field earn $70,000 per year compared with $61,000 per year for the average Bachelor’s degree holder. Thirty percent of Associate’s degree holders make more than the average Bachelor’s degree holder.

**RULE 4.**
Programs are often the same in name only.
The value of programs and college majors varies according to the alignment between particular curricula and regional labor market demand.

---

16 Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey: 2009-2015, pooled one-year person level micro data files.
17 Carnevale et al., The Economic Value of College Majors, 2015.
18 Carnevale et al., Certificates, 2012; Carnevale et al., The Economic Value of College Majors, 2015.
19 Carnevale et al., Learning While Earning, 2015.
The information age\(^{20}\) has given us digital maps on phones with directions to restaurants, reviews from previous diners, and other nearby dining options. We can get electronic reminders of meetings accompanied by information about weather and traffic conditions. Yet when it comes to navigating education and career pathways, learners and workers mostly have been left on their own, operating with outmoded methods and incomplete information from different sources that are difficult to reconcile and apply toward a particular purpose. This is not the way to equip more Americans with the skills they need in the 21st-century economy, nor offer employers the skilled workforce they demand. We need a better way: one that will remove the guesswork and allow the postsecondary education and training system to catch up to the modern world.

State leaders are rising to this challenge to improve postsecondary and workforce outcomes. Advances in data systems are enabling state leaders and other stakeholders to respond to pressures from learners, workers, and employers. Stakeholders are using these advances to inform how they prioritize postsecondary and workforce investments and make decisions that are efficient, effective, and equitable.

The data exist, and they are getting more comprehensive. A number of states have done pioneering work in assembling data to connect college and careers. A framework that integrates postsecondary education and workforce data, primarily in public-facing websites, will serve the interests of all parties: governors, legislators, state higher education and workforce executives, postsecondary leaders, and, ultimately, learners, workers, and employers. State leaders can draw from the best ideas of other states, adopt the ones that work for them, or use the ideas as a basis to devise new and improved solutions. This report is meant to describe the ideal system — what we call the Learning and Earning Exchange — and how data can be used to create such a system.

**Postsecondary education is the gateway to the middle class in the 21st century.**

Postsecondary education is increasingly a prerequisite for entering the middle class.\(^{21}\) However, escalating costs coupled with rising uncertainty about college value are creating mounting pressure to increase transparency and improve outcomes within and across the postsecondary and workforce sectors. This pressure is stimulating innovation in public policy development because all the parties involved seek answers to basic questions about the value of postsecondary education, how to access and provide it, and what it means for individual and collective success.

Innovative efforts in eight states could be models for others about where investments can make a strong impact. These solutions integrate postsecondary and workforce data\(^{22}\) in a way that provides actionable information which can be used to improve postsecondary

---

\(^{20}\) The amount of information we collect and store has grown exponentially since the early 2000s. This explosion in information has given rise to big data and machine learning algorithms, which together have supported the creation of new information and guidance tools, allowing consumers, businesses, institutions, and policymakers to benefit from experiences and outcomes of people in different spheres of society (King, “Too Much Content,” 2011; Lohr, “The Age of Big Data,” 2012).

\(^{21}\) Carnevale and Rose, *The Economy Goes to College*, 2015.

\(^{22}\) Since 2009, the State Higher Education Executive Officers Association (SHEEO) has documented changes in state postsecondary data systems in its *Strong Foundations* reports, including data sharing with the workforce sector. For more information, see Armstrong and Whitfield (2016), Garcia and L’Orange (2012), and Garcia and L’Orange (2010).
education and training programs, connect learners and workers to career pathways, and satisfy employers’ workplace needs.

If done well:

- **Learners** and **workers** will better understand how their choices of postsecondary programs can prepare them for fulfilling lives and careers;
- **Colleges** will refresh and strengthen programs to improve student outcomes;
- **Employers** will be able to identify and hire talented workers more precisely; and
- **Policymakers** will allocate resources effectively and efficiently in order to build strong economies.

This report shows how state leaders are using technological advances to improve the use of data in five critical areas:

- Helping economic and workforce developers, businesses, and colleges to reduce the high costs resulting from uninformed education and workforce decisions;
- Assisting college leaders in making program-related decisions that take into account labor market needs;
- Ensuring that postsecondary education and training programs strike a balance between learners’ foundational knowledge and what they’ll need to know and be able to do in the workplace;
- Enhancing high school counseling and college advising to make the process of exploring, entering, and finishing college easier for learners; and
- Helping workers understand how to take advantage of postsecondary education and training options as they change jobs and navigate their careers.

These five ways would increase transparency and accountability across the postsecondary and workforce sectors.

No state has built out all of the five areas we describe in this report (Figure 1). In addition, each featured state example is in the early stages. While their development is likely to mature over time, these are early innovations in each of the five practices. We believe that all states are experiencing common challenges to improve the efficiency, equity, and effectiveness of public investments, which makes these examples worthy of attention. Although each state faces slightly different issues, investments in these areas can address the needs of key stakeholders in ways that can transcend state borders.

Several characteristics emerged when we analyzed the featured examples. Each seeks to turn integrated data into information. The examples also demonstrate the various states’ commitment to making this information accessible via public-facing websites, rather than merely embedding it in reports. The existence of multisector partnerships emphasizes the importance of creating mutually reinforcing relationships. We also suspect that the extent and effectiveness of each state’s marketing and outreach efforts, along with how

---

23 Legislation to increase transparency and accountability is gaining momentum in Congress. The College Transparency Act of 2017, introduced by two Republican and two Democratic senators, would lift the so-called “student record ban,” which would allow for more accurate data on graduation rates, workplace outcomes, and how students are performing at individual colleges, among other things. Meanwhile, the Student Right to Know Before You Go Act, known for its sponsors Sen. Ron Wyden (D-Oregon) and Sen. Marco Rubio (R-Florida) would require colleges to release new data, such as institutional graduation rates, average wages of students who earn a degree, graduation rates and wage outcomes by major.
they leveraged state and federal funding, played an important role in the development, pilot, and implementation phases. These tools will be more effective as state leaders foster a culture of data use, including helping users understand the data, turning the data into information, and changing organizational practices as a result.

Figure 1. The Five Ways feature web-based tools to support policy- and decision-making.

Education Projections, Business Expansion, and Workforce Quality tools help state economic development leaders attract and retain new employers with data demonstrating that the state postsecondary education and training systems can provide workers with the needed skills.

Program Alignment with Labor Market Demand tools help college administrators, faculty, and deans make program-related decisions that address labor market needs, while college and system administrators can demonstrate return on investment to state leaders.

Curriculum Alignment with Workforce Requirements tools help faculty members create curricula aligned with the applied skills and abilities that learners will need to succeed in their careers.

Counseling and Career Pathways tools help advisors support students in their educational and career decisions, as well as identify and reach out to the learners who need additional support.

Job Placement and Skills Gap Analysis tools help workers determine if and how the knowledge, skills, abilities, interests, and work values they possess are transferable to new jobs. These tools also help workers assess skill gaps and provide connections to postsecondary education and training options that can prepare them for a career change.

Our aim is to give state leaders a view of what is possible. For states that have initiatives in some areas, these practices can provide guidance for the next investment. For states just beginning to plan, this report provides insight into how states have used data and technology to provide valuable information for improved decision-making.

THE FIVE WAYS

These five practices call for the integration of postsecondary education and workforce data to support individual, organizational, and policy decisions. Together, they represent closer alignment between the postsecondary education and workforce sectors. Alignment does not mean that the postsecondary sector becomes the pawn of the workforce sector, or vice versa; rather, the two sectors work in concert to contribute to a state’s overall success.

The tools featured in this report represent how leaders within and across eight geographically diverse states are using labor market information27 to build a more complete picture of what happens to learners and workers before, during, and after they complete their postsecondary studies. In each of the following sections, we include an overview and describe the data being integrated, as well as the intended audience for each of the Five Ways. Each section concludes with one or more state examples.

27 See the appendix for a listing of Labor Market Information (LMI) data sources, characteristics, and potential uses.
Overview

Workforce development can be thought of from three perspectives: being prepared for the future, attracting new business, and ensuring continued workforce quality that meets the needs of both existing employers and prospective ones. Business expansion policies have relied heavily in recent years on tax incentives, but state policymakers are turning more to projections of education demand to frame how the state plans to meet the need for a highly-skilled workforce. This contextual framing is transforming the role of workforce development, which is tasked with meeting workforce needs, avoiding skill gaps, and being flexible in the face of change brought on by globalization. Employer demand for talent has elevated the importance of workers with specific skills gained through postsecondary education and training. While partnerships between economic developers and businesses — as well as those between postsecondary institutions and businesses — are common, strong alliances among all three groups can together better address the mismatch between jobs and workers.28

State example: Indiana

The Indiana Chamber of Commerce and Indiana Chamber Foundation created IndianaSkills in 2012 as an online job database for learners, job seekers, and career counselors.29 Originally, this was a supply-demand resource of occupations requiring short-term credentials (Associate’s degrees, certificates, and certifications), but it was expanded in 2014 to occupations calling for Bachelor’s degrees and higher. Employers can use the information to compare educational requirements by job, view wages that Indiana employees earn in distinct occupations, and create job descriptions. Economic developers can access information on the wages and the percentage of those who complete education and training programs who are employed, jobs with the highest demand, and the training and certifications most in demand.

Data to be integrated

Projections of educational demand in the workforce,30 proprietary analytical information, and college administrative data can be linked with state wage records into the process of retaining and attracting employers and industries to the state.31

Audience

Economic development agencies, workforce boards, and employers

---

28 The International Economic Development Council advocates a tripartite alliance among postsecondary institutions, employers, and economic developers to address the nationwide mismatch between jobs and workers (Brown, Shifting Workforce Development into High Gear, 2015).
30 Recovery 2020 is the second installment of the Georgetown Center’s jobs and education projections. Its predecessor, Help Wanted, came out in 2010. The third iteration, which is forthcoming, will project educational demand through 2025 (Carnevale et al., 2010; 2013).
31 For more information on Labor Market Information (LMI) data sources, see the appendix.
PROGRAM ALIGNMENT WITH LABOR MARKET DEMAND

Overview

Administrators at the college and systems levels are using workforce data to measure demand for different career fields in their local labor markets in order to make decisions about which programs to add, expand, contract, or discontinue. When college leaders are aware of the demand for their programs’ graduates, it signals to both learners and state leaders the college’s commitment to preparing students for careers.

State example: California

In California, the LaunchBoard was conceived in 2012 by a group of career and technical education (CTE) stakeholders. The California Community College Chancellor’s Office released a beta version of the LaunchBoard in 2013 and launched its second iteration in 2016. Designed as an internal tool for California community college and K-12 school district leaders, its purpose is to help modify and improve CTE programs. It includes supply and demand information on earnings outcomes of graduates, student enrollment patterns, and impact of programs on groups such as veterans and first-generation students. The 2016 improvements realigned existing metrics to state and federal initiatives and feature dynamic reports with answers to practitioners’ common questions.

State example: Connecticut

The Training and Education Planning System was created in 2008 by the Connecticut Department of Labor to allow state college administrators to consider labor market skill shortages or surpluses for the programs they offer. The system incorporates state occupational projections and information about people who completed programs, using data from federal sources, the Connecticut Office of Higher Education, and the Connecticut Department of Education. The results are organized by occupation and program of study, and include a short description for each profession, average earnings for selected jobs, and tables projecting the annual number of graduates in each field compared to the number of available jobs.

Data to be integrated

Occupation- and industry-specific employment and earnings data can be linked with student enrollment data to aid program design, planning, and review.

Audience

College and system administrators, deans, and faculty

---

34 Ibid.
36 A full list of caveats is available at the TEPS website, http://www1.ctdol.state.ct.us/TEPS/FAQs.aspx#caveats.
37 For more information on LMI data sources, see the appendix.
CURRICULUM ALIGNMENT WITH WORKFORCE REQUIREMENTS

Overview

Learners, workers, educators, and employers differ in their descriptions of the competencies gained, taught, and valued in the job market.

Whether as part of a competency-based or traditional education program, curriculum alignment that starts with data analysis is necessary for colleges to keep student learning relevant to the competencies demanded by industry, as well as to establish stronger ties to employers. Employers also can tailor their job ads to include academic competencies that employees need. These activities can build bidirectional, mutually reinforcing partnerships in which the contribution of each party is valued.

State example: Texas

The Center for Employability Outcomes, an applied research center of Texas State Technical College, created the Skills Outcome Analysis in 2014 to help colleges match what is taught in the classroom with work performed in business and industry. The online analytical tool incorporates more than 3,000 skills for more than 900 occupations from 1,400 Texas employers. The skills were validated by 4,000 subject matter experts. In all, 26 Texas colleges have used the tool to align 1,300 courses and 83 postsecondary credentials.

Data to be integrated

Occupational data and employer/industry expert feedback can be combined with the process of developing competencies and learning outcomes for postsecondary education and training programs.

Audience

Faculty, curriculum developers, and administrators

---

38 Lumina Foundation’s Connecting Credentials initiative identifies the development of a common language to serve as the basis for a connected credentialing system as one of seven priority areas in its action plan, http://connectingcredentials.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Action-Plan.pdf.
39 Skills Outcomes Analysis, https://sws.tstc.edu/soahome/soa/9/20public.php. The Skills Outcome Analysis tool was developed as a pilot project that will undergo changes and improvements in the implementation phase.
41 Curriculum Alignment Initiative, http://www.c4eo.org/curriculum-alignment. Other agency partners are the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board and the Texas Education Agency.
42 For more information on LMI data sources, see the appendix.
COUNSELING AND CAREER PATHWAYS

Overview

Many learners, especially those with little or no work experience, often make life-altering decisions under a cloud of uncertainty about how their postsecondary choices will affect their employment outcomes, the path needed to reach those outcomes, the likelihood of success, and whether their career will line up with their abilities, preferences, and interests. High school counselors and college advisors are designated to guide students through these tough decisions, but these professionals often have scarce time and resources and are unable to address the needs of all students. States and postsecondary systems have been looking to provide additional guidance to those who need it most, including low-income and first-generation college students. Many colleges are using predictive analytics to identify course-taking patterns, course completion rates, and other factors that might help determine the keys that lead to student progress and success. If provided with proper information and guidance, students leave high school better prepared for college, choose majors aligned to their personality and interests, and develop reasonable career expectations.

State example: Virginia

In 2009, the Virginia Community College System (VCCS) created the Virginia Education Wizard, an online portal that takes into account the skills, interests, and values of learners and helps them make decisions about careers and postsecondary education and training options.43 The newest version, released in January 2016, updates existing information on career pathways, curricula, and financial assistance, adds a new portal designed by and for veterans attending VCCS institutions, and features a game for teenagers, Imagine, that suggests occupations, with wages, that would allow them to afford the particular lifestyle they wish to enjoy.44

State example: New York

The NYC Labor Market Information Service at the City University of New York (CUNY) Graduate Center began creating Career Maps in 2014.45 The five career maps produced to date allow CUNY students to plan career trajectories for themselves as they acquire more skills or attain higher levels of education. The maps include flowcharts of

Data to be integrated

Occupational and labor market data, employment projections, wages, and student skill, value, and interest assessment data can all be added to the student career counseling process to inform college major and career selection.46

Audience

College advisors and middle school and high school counselors

---

44 rccinfo, community contributor, “Updated ‘Virginia Education Wizard’ to be Launched,” 2016.
46 For more information on LMI data sources, see the appendix.
different tiers of the most common career progressions based on actual work histories. They also include the median annual salary of workers in each position, the typical educational requirements needed to hold that position, and details on which CUNY institutions offer the necessary credentials to advance in those careers. The careers that are mapped include medical assisting, home health, bookkeeping and accounting, tech support, and cooks and chefs.47

State example: Colorado

Launch My Career Colorado is an online dashboard that allows high school students to visualize the return on investment from a credential at a particular Colorado postsecondary institution.48 College Measures at the American Institutes for Research developed the dashboard in 2016 with data from the Colorado Department of Labor and Employment, the Colorado Department of Higher Education, and Gallup. Learners and workers can select jobs and view an occupational description, the expected earnings over a 20-year period compared to those of high school graduates, a list of associated skills common to the industry, and the highest degrees common to workers in the field. They can also view how many years it will take for them to break even after paying off their college loans given their current and expected spending habits.49

47 Additional maps are being developed for human services and social work, network support and administration, web development and web design, and software development.
JOB PLACEMENT AND SKILLS GAP ANALYSIS

Overview

Employers spend billions of dollars advertising job openings, evaluating candidates, and hiring new employees each year. New online analytical tools will result in a more effective matching process between jobs, workers, and training programs. These tools also assist mid- and late-career adults who need additional education, training, and career services to remain in the workforce.

State example: Minnesota

The Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development created the Job Skills Transfer Assessment Tool (JOBSTAT) in 2010 to facilitate job seekers’ transitions from their current jobs to similar or different occupations. Organized around 22 job families in the Occupational Information Network (O*NET) database, JOBSTAT shows job seekers how well their current skills match the skills needed for their desired occupations, annual wages for each occupation, postsecondary education and training options available to gain the new skills necessary, and current job openings. State leaders made the tool’s algorithm available to other states; among others, the U.S. Department of Labor is using Minnesota’s tool as a model for its My Next Move website.

Data to be integrated

Data on competencies, resumes, online job ads, and occupational demand can be used to connect job seekers to jobs and postsecondary education and training programs.

Audience

Job seekers (including displaced and unemployed workers), training providers, and employment agencies

---

50 Employers spend about $4,000 to fill an open position (Bersin by Deloitte, 2015, cited in Glassdoor, 50 HR and Recruiting Statistics, 2016).
51 There are 19 million workers, ages 45–65, with a high school diploma or less, who are unemployed, not in the labor force, or working part-time. Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce analysis of Current Population Survey, March Supplement, 2016.
54 For more information on LMI data sources, see the appendix.
CONCLUSION

The state examples featured in the Five Ways are a good start toward building what America needs. State data systems that connect college with careers are becoming more common, and we now have more data than ever. State leaders realize, however, that existing data has not been translated into useful information to support decision-making. The tools developed to date hold much promise and are a step in the right direction, but if their implementation is piecemeal rather than part of a coordinated statewide process, the gulf between the postsecondary and workforce sectors is likely to remain.

Learners and workers may want to choose their college and select their major based on the workforce outcomes of graduates, but without a true navigational system, the process is mostly guesswork. As our economy becomes more knowledge-based, information is becoming our greatest resource. But all the data and consumer information now being collected must be converted to smart data. Until then, it will be difficult for learners and workers to make sound college and career choices. And it will be harder for employers to pick the best talent.

The Learning and Earning Exchange is a framework for the next generation of data use that capitalizes on integrated data available to states. The five practices featured in this report are the beginning of a smart education and career guidance system. As the state examples show, these tools are not static; in many states, they have evolved from the pilot stage to implementation, and they are constantly being improved. They also require an active outreach component to attract the intended audience. The good news is that states can borrow ideas from other states and implement tools in any one of these five practices within a year or two. What is needed now is to promote their use more broadly within and across states.

Harnessing the power of integrated data to provide learners, workers, families, colleges, employers, and policymakers with information can help state leaders improve their economies and also the quality of life for their residents. Connecting the education system to careers has the potential to help state governments use public education and training funds more efficiently and promote economic development and growth. This would revitalize the American education system as the gateway to economic opportunity.

56 The federal College Scorecard does not go far enough in helping learners, since it presents aggregate, average salary information for an entire institution and not individual programs of study (Sandeen, “Here’s the Score for Obama’s College Scorecard,” 2015).
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APPENDIX: LABOR MARKET INFORMATION DATA SOURCES, CHARACTERISTICS, AND POTENTIAL USES

The Five Ways call for integration of postsecondary and workforce data to support individual, organizational, and policy decisions. The federally-funded Statewide Longitudinal Data Systems (SLDS) program that began in 2005 has evolved to become the primary repository for integrated data from preschool through the workforce. This appendix provides an overview of the sources of labor market information (LMI) and the type of information the sources can provide. Some sources are publicly available and accessible, others are accessible to authorized state or federal policymakers for limited purposes, and other sources are available through third-party vendors.

Survey Data

The U.S. Census Bureau and the Bureau of Labor Statistics conduct surveys of households and employer establishments. Results are publicly available and provide a good snapshot of the employment, earnings, occupation, and industry makeup of the labor market and of worker demographics. While surveys are a good source of data about general labor market demand, states must connect administrative workforce and education data to reach the level of insights detailed in this report. Survey data can be used to augment information from these databases, especially in areas for which legal, privacy, or availability issues make the use of administrative data impractical.

AMERICAN COMMUNITY SURVEY (ACS)

An annual household survey that includes the following data:

- Demographics
- Employment
- Earnings
- Occupation
- Industry
- Number of hours worked per week
- Number of weeks worked per year
- Place of residence/employment (for state and local level analysis)

Examples of what this data source has to offer:

- Occupations in demand in the local labor market
- Earnings by educational attainment in the local labor market
- Highest paid jobs by occupation and industry
CURRENT POPULATION SURVEY (CPS)

Monthly survey of a rotating group of households that includes the following data:

- Demographics
- Employment
- Earnings
- Occupation
- Industry
- Number of hours worked per week
- Number of weeks worked per year
- Place of residence/employment (for state-level analysis)

Examples of what this data source has to offer:

» Employment levels in different occupations
» Distribution of education level for workers in different occupations

CPS ANNUAL SOCIOECONOMIC SUPPLEMENT

Annual household survey that includes the following data:

- Demographics
- Employment
- Earnings
- Occupation
- Industry
- Number of hours worked per week
- Number of weeks worked per year
- Place of residence/employment (for state-level analysis)

Examples of what this data source has to offer:

» Employment levels in different occupations
» Earnings by educational attainment
» Distribution of education level for workers in different occupations

CURRENT EMPLOYMENT STATISTICS (CES)

Monthly survey of employers that includes the following data:

- Employment
- Earnings
- Industry
- Number of hours employees worked per week
- Location of the firm (for state-level analysis)

Examples of what this data source has to offer:

» Top-paying industries in the state
» Industry sectors in the state that employ the largest numbers of workers
OCCUPATIONAL EMPLOYMENT STATISTICS (OES)

Semi-annual survey of employers that includes the following data:
- Occupational employment
- Earnings by occupation
- Breakdown of occupations by industry
- Geography: for analysis of occupations at the state and local level

Examples of what this data source has to offer:
- List of growing occupations
- Distribution of earnings within occupations

Administrative Data

Administrative data is collected in the process of administering specific government programs at the federal and state levels, such as unemployment insurance (UI) and Social Security. The advantage of these data sources is that they contain individual records on the vast majority of workers, which theoretically could be connected to other data sources to determine each individual’s specific education and training history, as well as career paths. While these data generally are not publicly accessible and involve strict limitation on who can use them and for what purposes, states are finding ways to incorporate administrative data, in particular from state UI wage records, into their SLDS.

State Administrative Data Sources

STATE UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE (UI) WAGE RECORDS

State UI wage records are often the main sources of administrative labor market information (LMI) that states use to create informational tools for consumers and stakeholders. UI wage records cover the majority of employees in any state (the exceptions are federal government workers, the self-employed, and individuals working for employers outside of the state). The de-identified, aggregated information from these records is also reported in the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW). At a minimum, all states collect employment and wages on a quarterly basis. Outside of collecting and reporting federally mandated data, some states also collect information on hours and weeks worked, tips and bonuses, gender of workers, work site location, etc. Occupational data is one enhancement that is gaining traction because of its potential in connecting fields of study to careers. In general, UI data is accessible to authorized users and is the primary source of LMI in SLDS. States can also get LMI on residents who work out of state and students who move out of state through the Wage Record Interchange System (WRIS) 2 sharing agreements.

UI wage records include the following data:
- Employment
- Earnings
- Industry
- Employer
- Place of employment
- Other (state-dependent, which may include demographics)

Examples of what this data source has to offer:
- Employment and earnings of graduates from different institutions and programs of study
- Types of education and training options that lead to jobs in a particular career field
STATE TAX RECORDS

The states annually collect information on employed workers through state tax returns. The data in tax returns potentially could be linked to other individual data at the state level and made available in de-identified, aggregated form. State tax returns include the following data:

- Employment
- Earnings
- Occupation (self-reported)
- Employer
- Place of residence/employment

Examples of what this data source has to offer:

- Employment and earnings of workers residing in the state
- Occupation of workers residing in the state
- Workforce outcomes of high school graduates who do not pursue postsecondary education
- Share of graduates who find jobs in their field within a certain period following graduation

Federal Administrative Data Sources

FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT DATA EXCHANGE SYSTEM (FEDES)

The U.S. Office of Personnel Management collects quarterly information on federal military and civilian employees (except the U.S. Postal Service). Under the FEDES pilot program, extracts of individual federal employee records are provided to the 41 participating states and the District of Columbia and include the following types of data:

- Employment
- Earnings

Examples of what this data source has to offer:

- Supplementing state UI wage records with information on employment and earnings of federal government employees working in the state
- Tracking earnings of college graduates who enter the military
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE (IRS) RECORDS

Information is reported annually to the IRS through tax forms that includes the following data:

- Employment
- Earnings
- Industry (for some records)
- Occupation (self-reported)
- Employer
- Place of residence/employment

Examples of what this data source has to offer:

- Employment and wage outcomes for all students who attended different programs of study at different institutions in the state
- Career pathways based on change in jobs and wages of graduates over a certain period of time
- Supplementing financial aid records from SLDS with information on student loan interest rate deduction and other education tax credits

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION (SSA) RECORDS

Information collected annually from tax forms includes the following data:

- Employment
- Earnings
- Industry (for some records)

Examples of what this data source has to offer:

- Employment and wage outcomes for all students who attended different programs of study at different institutions in the state
- Geographic distribution of college graduates relative to colleges attended
- Number of workers who hold multiple jobs
Aggregated Databases

These databases are large aggregated sources of survey, administrative, and other data developed for particular purposes. These databases offer a more comprehensive view of the world of work and the state of the labor market. Some of these are publicly accessible; others are limited by law and require authorized access.

OCCUPATIONAL INFORMATION NETWORK (O*NET)

This U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration database contains descriptive occupational information that is continually updated through surveys of workers in each occupation as well as expert input.

The information includes the education, knowledge, skills, and abilities necessary to perform jobs in each occupation; occupational interests, work values, and work styles associated with each occupation; and tasks, work activities, and organizational and work contexts that are part of performing job duties within each occupation.

Examples of what this data source has to offer:

» Knowledge, skills, and abilities required for jobs in different occupations
» Assessment of how well a program’s curriculum matches competencies demanded in particular occupations
» Different occupations that require similar skills, so workers considering a career change can identify new possibilities
» Identification of what workers in a particular career (occupation) do

LONGITUDINAL EMPLOYER-HOUSEHOLD DYNAMICS (LEHD)

The U.S. Census Bureau coordinates the state-federal Local Employment Dynamics (LED) Partnership project that collects and disseminates LEHD data. It combines state administrative data with federal administrative and survey data from employers and workers to provide a more complete picture of the labor market.

LEHD information includes employment, earnings, hires, separations, job changes, worker demographics (gender, age, race, and ethnicity), and worker education, along with industry, sector, age, and size of the employer. Information is available at the state and local level. OnTheMap is an interactive mapping and reporting tool using LEHD data that shows the overlap between where workers live and work.

Examples of what this data source has to offer:

» Geographic distribution of jobs in the local area for workers with a particular level of education
» Hiring practices of large and small businesses with regard to worker demographics in the local geographic area
» Worker mobility from declining sectors
Emerging Data Sources

The Internet has become a central place for job search and recruitment. These activities leave a digital footprint that can be analyzed to get a “real-time” picture of demand and supply in local labor markets.

JOB POSTINGS DATA

Employers are increasingly posting job openings online, in particular when they are looking for workers with a college education. Employers post job openings both on their own websites and on electronic job boards, such as Monster.com and Careerbuilder.com. There is no standard format for online job postings. The number of job postings, which employers post job openings, and the industries and sectors of the economy they represent all provide important information on the current employer demand within state and local labor markets. The job titles for the postings add another layer of important information, especially if titles can be tied to occupations. The contents of the postings offer information about qualifications employers are looking for from workers. When aggregated across a variety of different sources and large swaths of the labor market, they can reveal important trends that can inform the decisions of learners, workers, education and training providers, economic and workforce developers, and state policymakers. A number of private vendors, such as Burning Glass and EMSI, are involved in efforts to aggregate information from job postings data.

Examples of what this data source has to offer:
» Employers posting the most job ads
» Occupations with the highest online demand based on numbers of jobs ads
» Skills employers are requesting of employees in different career fields

RESUME/PROFILE DATA

The flipside of the online job marketplace are resumes and profiles that workers post on job boards and professional networking sites, such as LinkedIn. When aggregated and made anonymous, these data can offer significant insights into workers’ current and desired occupations, education and training, previous job experience, technical and soft skills, credentials, career interests, and career pathways. States often have their own resume banks. Another option is third-party vendors, who either collect resumes themselves or aggregate resume data across different sources.

Examples of what this data source has to offer:
» Examination of education and career pathways for individuals moving within and between different career fields and education and training experiences
» Identification of related job titles, responsibilities, and skill sets in typical workers’ career progressions
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Type</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Unit of Analysis</th>
<th>Types of Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>American Community Survey (ACS)</td>
<td>Household Sample</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current Population Survey (CPS)</td>
<td>Household Sample</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPS Annual Socioeconomic Supplement</td>
<td>Household Sample</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current Employment Statistics (CES)</td>
<td>Employer Sample</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occupational Employment Statistics (OES)*</td>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>* ✓ ✓ * *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>State Unemployment Insurance (UI) wage records**</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ** ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State tax records</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Federal Employment Data Exchange System (FEDES)***</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal Revenue Service (IRS)</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Security Administration (SSA)</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregated</td>
<td>Occupational Information Network (O*NET)</td>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD)</td>
<td>State/local area</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging</td>
<td>Job postings</td>
<td>Job ads</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resumes</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Though all administrative data is not publicly accessible, state UI wage records, state tax records and FEDES data are potentially accessible to authorized users within state governments, while SSA and IRS data are not currently accessible to the states for use in information tools.

* OES provides occupational employment, average and median wages, and distribution of occupations by industry.
** Collection of demographic data depends on the state.
*** FEDES data cover federal civilian and military employees.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Employer Characteristics</th>
<th>Occupational Characteristics</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Publicly accessible</th>
<th>Authorized users only</th>
<th>Snapshot/trend analysis</th>
<th>Longitudinal analysis</th>
<th>Local analysis</th>
<th>Individual outcomes analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Though all administrative data is not publicly accessible, state UI wage records, state tax records and FEDES data are potentially accessible to authorized users within state governments, while SSA and IRS data are not currently accessible to the states for use in information tools.

* OES provides occupational employment, average and median wages, and distribution of occupations by industry.

** Collection of demographic data depends on the state.

*** FEDES data cover federal civilian and military employees.
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